EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

One trillion dollars in health care savings over the past decade! A current rate of more than one billion dollars in savings every other day! The Generic Drug Savings study presents data and a retrospective analysis by the IMS Institute for Healthcare Informatics to quantify the unprecedented savings generated by market competition from generic pharmaceuticals. This study, published by the Generic Pharmaceutical Association (GPhA), validates the dramatic contribution the generic pharmaceutical industry makes every year to assuring the sustainability of the U.S. health care system.

The government's most recent National Health Expenditure Accounts (NHEA) report shows that total U.S. health care spending reached $2.6 trillion in 2010, which translates to $8,402 per person or about 18 percent of the nation's Gross Domestic Product (GDP). The federal government financed 29 percent of the total spend—a substantial increase from its 23 percent share in 2007—with state and local governments paying an additional 16 percent of national health care costs.

NHEA further notes that the average annual growth in health care spending is expected to be 6.2 percent per year through 2018, outpacing annual growth in the overall economy (anticipated at 4.1 percent) by 2.1 percentage points per year. By 2018, according to government projections, national health care spending will reach $4.4 trillion and comprise over one-fifth of the GDP. At this rate of growth, within 15 years health care costs would amount to half of the nation's GDP, begging the question whether our yearly spending on health care is sustainable.

Against this backdrop of escalating costs, the Generic Drug Savings analysis shows conclusively that the use of lower cost generic prescription drugs is a vital component to holding down the growth rate of health care spending. As the study shows, generic drug use has saved the U.S. health care system approximately $1.07 trillion over the past decade (2002 through 2011) with $192.8 billion in savings achieved in 2011 alone.

As this fourth annual edition of the Generic Drug Savings study highlights, future savings achieved through generic prescription medicines will climb at an ever-increasing annual rate as generic versions of expensive branded biologic treatments begin entering the market. Current biologic medicine costs are staggering, putting these lifesaving treatments out of reach for many patients. Even after insurance coverage, co-pays can be thousands of dollars each year. A Congressional Research Service (CRS) study completed in 2010 showed that the cost of biologics is often prohibitively high, both for patients and the government. The report found that average annual costs for the rheumatoid arthritis treatment Enbrel$ was $26,000, Herceptin® for breast cancer averaged $37,000, Humira® for Crohn's disease was more than $51,000 per year, and the annual cost for Cerezyme® to treat Gaucher's disease was $200,000. CRS noted further that Medicare spent
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more in 2009 on just one biologic drug (Epogen®) than the entire FDA budget for that year, including all food, drug and cosmetic programs. CRS concluded that spending on biologics will be unsustainable without the approval of biosimilars to “enable market competition and reduction in prices.” Today, government spending for biologics is increasing at a faster pace than any other health care-related expense with the exception of diagnostic imaging tests. Last year, spending for biologics in the U.S. accounted for more than a quarter of the country’s total drug bill. Competition from biosimilar versions of branded biologics will help reign in these escalating costs and deliver sizeable savings while providing affordable options to patients needing treatments for deadly diseases.

All data in the 2012 Generic Drug Savings study were supplied by the IMS Institute for Healthcare Informatics, a division of IMS Health that, for more than 55 years, has been a leading provider of market intelligence to the health care industry. Other findings of this study show:

- The $192.8 billion saved in calendar year 2011 equates to a savings of more than one billion dollars every other day.

- 2011 savings from generics increased 22 percent over the prior year, marking the largest year-over-year increase since 1998, and 10 percentage points higher than the 10-year average.

- Savings from newer generic medicines—those that have entered the market since 2002—continue to increase exponentially, totaling $481 billion over the past 10 years.

- Generic versions of central nervous system (CNS) drugs, such as antidepressants and anticonvulsants, and cardiovascular drugs account for 57 percent of the annual savings.

- In 2011, nearly 80 percent of the 4 billion prescriptions written in the U.S. were dispensed using safe and effective generic versions of their brand name counterpart drugs.

This remarkable record of savings over the past decade dwarfs initial savings estimates made in 1984 when the Hatch/Waxman Act established the modern-day generic industry. At that time, it was projected that generics could save up to a billion dollars over the 10-year period following enactment of the bill. But a 1998 Congressional Budget Office study reported that, by the end of the first post-Hatch/Waxman decade, generics were saving between $8 billion and $10 billion a year. Today, that amount is being saved every 18 days as consumers, patients, payers and federal, state and local governments increase their reliance on safe, effective and affordable generic medicines. The generic pharmaceutical industry continues to work for people around the globe by providing the safe and affordable medicines needed to live longer, healthier, and more productive lives.
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Spending on medicines in the U.S. reached $320 billion in 2011, as more than 4 billion new and renewal prescriptions were dispensed at pharmacies, in hospitals and long term care facilities, and through mail order services across the country. This record level of spending on pharmaceuticals would have been over 50 percent higher—totaling more than $500 billion—if not for the availability of lower cost generic versions of branded drugs.

**Competition from Generics Has Driven Savings for the Past Decade**

National spending data from 2011 show that the use of generic drugs saved American consumers, taxpayers, federal and state governments and other payers an astounding $1.07 trillion over the decade 2002 through 2011. In 2011 alone, the use of generics saved $193 billion, an average of more than one billion dollars in savings every other day. This represents a 20 percent increase in savings over 2010 savings of $158 billion, the largest one-year growth rate since 1998. This dramatic increase in savings was driven by the introduction of new generics for several significant brand drugs, including the billion dollar blockbusters Zyprexa® (olanzapine), Levaquin® (levofloxacin), Advair Diskus® (fluticasone propionate) Concerta® (methylphenidate) and Lipitor® (atorvastatin).

Across all drug products, first-time generics were launched in 2011 for brand drugs with $22.1 billion in annual sales. Because several of the new generics did not become available until late last year, the full benefit of generic cost reductions has not yet been realized. However, for the 5-year period ending 2011, the “patent dividend” (health care savings due to patent expiry and first-time generic competition) was $65.2 billion.

Also accelerating the growth in generic savings is increasing consumer reliance on safe and effective generic drugs. The overall generic utilization rate reached 80 percent in 2011, meaning that more than 3.2 billion of the approximate 4 billion total brand and generic prescriptions written in the U.S. last year were dispensed using generic versions of branded drugs.

This overall generic utilization rate includes all prescription drugs, even those that are available only as brands. When looking only at the universe of drugs for which brand and generic versions are available, consumers chose the generic alternative 94 percent of the time in 2011. The overwhelming acceptance by consumers, patients and health care providers attests to the safety and sameness of FDA-approved generic drugs.
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Competition Did Not Slow the Innovation of New Medicines

The 1984 Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act (Hatch-Waxman) had the dual objectives of incentivizing the development of new brand drugs (the patent term restoration part) and facilitating the approval of generics to lower consumer costs (the drug price competition part). Some claim that Hatch-Waxman has worked too well for the generic side, at the cost of harming the innovation of new or improved medicines. An article in the November 2011 issue of Health Affairs argued that generic usage has increased so markedly that the incentive to develop new drugs has been harmed. The article called on Congress to review whether Hatch-Waxman is achieving its intended purpose of balancing incentives for generics and innovation with an eye toward amending the law to delay generic competition by increasing the market monopoly for branded drugs.9

But the facts do not support that claim. IMS reported in April that, although generic utilization has reached new levels, more new medicines were launched in 2011 than in any other year of the past decade. IMS noted, “New medicines launched last year brought improved efficacy, safety and convenience for diseases affecting millions of patients battling chronic conditions; important breakthroughs for rare diseases transformed treatment options through personalized medicines based on genetic markers for subtypes of cancer and individually cultured immunotherapies.”10

In fact, since the implementation of Hatch-Waxman, there has been a multiple-fold increase in the innovation of new medicines, including the cholesterol drugs Lipitor® and Zocor®, the antidepressants Prozac® and Paxil®, the antipsychotic Zyprexa®, anti-ulcerants Prilosec® and Nexium®, and the blood thinner Plavix® to name a few. Each of these new treatments represents vast improvements in therapy that were spawned by competition to the older medicines. By creating a fair balance between innovation of new medicines and accessibility to lower cost generic medicines, federal law has established a win-win for providers and American consumers.

Competition from Biosimilars Will Add Tens of Billions of Dollars in New Savings

The proven track record of savings for consumers using traditional generic drugs can be duplicated in the biopharmaceutical market. The approval of biosimilars will inject the competition needed in the biologic market to lower costs and provide significant savings for patients in need of these lifesaving treatments. Estimates from various economic impact studies pin the projected savings from $42 billion on the low end to as high as $108 billion over the first 10 years of biosimilar

The only sure way to reign in costs is through the introduction of competition. It is essential that FDA maintains its commitment to funding the biosimilars program and ensures that a workable approval pathway is created…free from obstacles that would serve only to delay the availability of FDA-approved, safe, effective and lower-cost biosimilar treatments.
Central Nervous System, Cardiovascular and Metabolism Drugs Lead Savings

Generic central nervous system (CNS) and cardiovascular drugs delivered the bulk of the savings (approximately 57 percent) generated by the generic industry in 2011. Combined, generics in these two therapeutic areas alone provided consumers and the U.S. health care system more than $100 billion in total savings. Generic CNS medications have contributed significantly to the yearly increase in savings, growing 10 percent in 2011 over the prior year. Generic metabolism drugs also represented a major source of health care savings in 2011, reducing costs by nearly $27 billion. Since 2002, the savings generated by products in the metabolism drug class have grown an astounding 500 percent. When added to the savings provided by generic CNS and cardiovascular medicines, these three therapeutic categories account for nearly three-fourths of all savings generated by generic drugs in 2011.

By far the greatest one-year savings growth rate came in the cancer treatment category. Savings from generic oncology products topped $10 billion in 2011, more than three times higher than the $3 billion that generic cancer drugs saved in 2010. Larger savings primarily were driven by the introduction of generic versions of two aromatase inhibitors, Taxotere® (docetaxel) and Gemzar® (gemcitabin), for which the brand patents expired.

Therapeutic Category Generic Savings by Year ($ in billions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Therapeutic Category</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood Disorders</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>769</td>
<td>833</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>869</td>
<td>1,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular</td>
<td>13,704</td>
<td>15,423</td>
<td>16,557</td>
<td>17,252</td>
<td>18,429</td>
<td>24,560</td>
<td>22,722</td>
<td>37,927</td>
<td>41,111</td>
<td>46,593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermatological</td>
<td>998</td>
<td>1,130</td>
<td>1,195</td>
<td>1,339</td>
<td>1,425</td>
<td>1,527</td>
<td>1,677</td>
<td>1,223</td>
<td>1,466</td>
<td>2,301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GI system</td>
<td>4,126</td>
<td>3,463</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>2,881</td>
<td>2,757</td>
<td>2,724</td>
<td>2,940</td>
<td>3,108</td>
<td>4,671</td>
<td>7,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic Hormones</td>
<td>5,189</td>
<td>4,814</td>
<td>4,775</td>
<td>4,470</td>
<td>3,420</td>
<td>2,741</td>
<td>2,267</td>
<td>2,143</td>
<td>2,113</td>
<td>1,890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Infectives</td>
<td>4,807</td>
<td>4,864</td>
<td>5,265</td>
<td>7,310</td>
<td>9,356</td>
<td>11,034</td>
<td>12,596</td>
<td>14,101</td>
<td>12,972</td>
<td>16,058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>683</td>
<td>993</td>
<td>1,511</td>
<td>1,665</td>
<td>1,544</td>
<td>2,224</td>
<td>2,577</td>
<td>3,104</td>
<td>10,067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musculo-Skeletal</td>
<td>3,279</td>
<td>3,581</td>
<td>3,882</td>
<td>4,452</td>
<td>5,051</td>
<td>5,682</td>
<td>6,303</td>
<td>7,972</td>
<td>8,621</td>
<td>9,307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nervous System</td>
<td>19,706</td>
<td>22,102</td>
<td>23,749</td>
<td>27,428</td>
<td>30,653</td>
<td>38,480</td>
<td>40,955</td>
<td>49,962</td>
<td>56,822</td>
<td>64,230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parasympathetic</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory</td>
<td>1,574</td>
<td>1,763</td>
<td>1,815</td>
<td>2,078</td>
<td>2,372</td>
<td>2,301</td>
<td>2,642</td>
<td>2,238</td>
<td>2,318</td>
<td>3,197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensory Organs</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>662</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>829</td>
<td>1,372</td>
<td>3,949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Total</td>
<td>55,769</td>
<td>64,026</td>
<td>88,931</td>
<td>77,535</td>
<td>85,699</td>
<td>80,346</td>
<td>101,376</td>
<td>121,031</td>
<td>139,518</td>
<td>157,843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over Time</td>
<td>114,769</td>
<td>179,392</td>
<td>248,323</td>
<td>325,858</td>
<td>411,517</td>
<td>512,893</td>
<td>633,924</td>
<td>773,442</td>
<td>931,285</td>
<td>1,069,896</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1. Cost containment and sustainability of health care. The analysis clearly demonstrates that any effort to reduce health care costs—whether on Capitol Hill or in state legislatures—must recognize the billions of dollars in savings that can be achieved through the use of generic medicines. For more than 25 years, generic prescription drugs have allowed millions of Americans to get the medicine they need at an affordable cost. As new health care reform policies are implemented, the savings generated by generics will help make it possible to improve lives for less. As government leaders in Washington and across the country look for ways to cut health care costs, this new analysis details the remarkable savings achieved through the use of generic medications.

With policymakers being forced every day to make difficult choices pertaining to spending and deficits, it is imperative that the savings available through generic use be recognized. Policies that encourage generic dispensing and steer clear of unwarranted restrictions on generic use can bring even greater savings to U.S. consumers, patients, health care providers and payers.

2. Patent Settlements. With more than a third of annual savings generated by generic medications coming from products that have entered the market since 2001, it would be misguided to enforce a ban on patent litigation settlements since most new generics get to market as the result of a settlement. In fact, 17 of the 22 first-time generics launched in 2011 were the result of patent settlements, including Zyprexa®, Solodyne®, Levaquin® and Lipitor®. Over the past 10 years, patent settlements have enabled dozens of first-time generics to come to market many months and even years before patents on the counterpart brand drugs expired. Patent litigation settlements have never delayed the launch of the generic past the expiration of the brand patent. U.S. Courts repeatedly have ruled that patent settlements are pro-consumer and pro-competitive.

An independent study by RBC Capital Markets, Analyzing Litigation Success Rates, found that generic companies are successful, thus able to market the generic product before patent expiration, in just 48 percent of cases. But when factoring in settlements, generics are successful in bringing the generic product to market before patent expiration in 76 percent of cases. While the settlement issue has engendered opposition from some who contend such generic-brand agreements are anticompetitive, the federal courts and Congress have repeatedly recognized that settlements can be desirable options in patent litigation. The record is clear: settlements allow generic drugs to come to market long before patents on the counterpart brands expire, resulting in billions of dollars in annual savings. Year after year, settlements have proven to be pro-consumer and pro-competitive. Over the past 10 years, patent settlements have resulted in billions of dollars in savings as dozens of first-time generics have come to market prior to patents expiring on the counterpart brand drugs.

3. Funding for FDA's Office of Generic Drugs. In addition to new generic drug user fees, which begin October 1, 2012, increasing congressional appropriations to the FDA's Office of Generic Drugs (OGD) is also an essential component in ensuring the savings potential from generic medications is fully realized. Currently, more than 2,000 generic drug applications are awaiting OGD action, with as many as 365 of those for first-time generic drugs, according to the FDA. Savings are being left on the table each day this backlog continues to grow, as consumers and the government are forced to pay brand drug prices for prescriptions that could be available in affordable generic versions. With generic manufacturers now poised to provide the FDA with hundreds of millions of dollars in new user fee funding, it is critical that members of Congress follow suit to ensure that the savings generated by the use of generic medications will continue to grow.

This analysis from the IMS Institute for Healthcare Informatics, a division of IMS Health, updates the third edition of the Generic Drugs Savings Study released in September 2011. This analysis is designed to show the total cost savings that generic pharmaceuticals provided to the U.S. health care system over the 10-year period of 2002 through 2011.

The fourth edition utilizes IMS data on sales and unit volumes of brand and generic products, estimating potential savings at the molecule level. To ensure consistency of the analysis, branded products are defined as originator molecules that no longer are patent protected; generic drugs are those that were introduced after the patent protection had expired on the original reference product. The total savings was derived from a universe of 2,750 drugs, which are those products for which both brands and generics were available on the market.

As shown in the chart at right, excluded from the savings analysis were drug products for which: (1) there was no measurable generic competition, either because of an exclusivity or patents still in effect or because there was no generic version of the brand yet approved; and (2) only a generic drug was available for sale because the brand drug was no longer available on the market. The overall methodology approach was to add 2011 generic volume to the 2010 Savings Study data for each molecule. The average brand price in the last year of patent protection (for patent expirations before 2001) was estimated using the formula (total brand sales) divided by (total standard units of brand).

For year 2011 brands under generic competition, the estimated value of the replaced brand product with generics was calculated using the formula (average brand price) multiplied by (total standard units of generic). Finally, the generic cost savings was computed using the formula (value of replaced brands with generics) minus the (total sales of generics), with total savings equal to the sum total of all cost savings across all therapeutic areas. To obtain the most accurate savings estimate, “standard units” are used throughout the study. The standard unit is the “number of units” divided by “smallest common dose of a product form.” Number of units refers to the number of tablets or capsules, ml or grams sold, multiplied by the number of packages sold, then multiplied by package size.

For additional information on any of the topics discussed in this study, including Medicaid generic drug utilization, funding for the FDA’s Office of Generic Drugs, patent settlements, biosimilars, and trends for the pharmaceutical industry, contact GPhA at 202-249-7100, or visit www.gphaonline.org. This IMS analysis was commissioned by the Generic Pharmaceutical Association; 777 6th Street, NW, Suite 510; Washington, DC 20001. It is available online at www.gphaonline.org.